## HOUSE BILL 2529

By Representatives Elliot, Grant, Mastin, Sheldon, Reams, D. Schmidt, Scott, Hymes and Thompson

54th Legislature

1996 Regular Session

Read first time 01/12/96. Referred to Committee on Government Operations.

- 1 AN ACT Relating to mineral resource land designation; adding a new
- 2 section to chapter 36.70A RCW; and creating a new section.
- 3 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:
- MEW SECTION. Sec. 1. The legislature recognizes that the availability of minerals through surface mining is essential to the economic well-being of the state and nation. The citizens of the state are rapidly running out of approved or designated sites to extract these minerals. Therefore, the available sources of these minerals are
- 9 nearly exhausted.

State of Washington

- 10 The state has enacted several laws in recent years directing local
- 11 governments to make land use decisions for appropriate uses of land
- 12 through designation in advance of or during the comprehensive planning
- 13 process and then to limit the specific approval process to mitigating
- 14 specific impacts of the use or uses allowed by the designation. The
- 15 current planning and regulatory environment makes economically viable
- 16 permits unobtainable for the vast majority of the sites where the
- 17 minerals are located and needed.
- 18 The cost of transportation of minerals for any significant distance
- 19 can have an exponential effect on the costs to the taxpayers of the

p. 1 HB 2529

- 1 state. Surface mining must take place in diverse areas where the
- 2 geologic, topographic, climatic, biologic, and social conditions are
- 3 significantly different, and reclamation specifications must vary
- 4 accordingly. But surface mining is a finite use of the land and
- 5 another beneficial use must follow through reclamation.
- 6 Therefore, the legislature finds that designation, production, and
- 7 conservation of adequate sources of minerals is in the best interests
- 8 of the citizens of the state.
- 9 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> **Sec. 2.** A new section is added to chapter 36.70A RCW 10 to read as follows:
- 11 (1)(a) Where the county has classified mineral lands pursuant to
- 12 RCW 36.70A.050 and mineral resource lands of long-term commercial
- 13 significance exist, a county, city, or town shall designate sufficient
- 14 mineral resource lands in the comprehensive plans to meet the projected
- 15 twenty-year, county-wide need. Once designated, mineral resource uses,
- 16 including operations as defined in RCW 78.44.031, shall be established
- 17 as an allowed use in local development regulations.
- 18 (b) The county, city, or town shall designate mineral resource
- 19 deposits, both active and inactive, in economically viable proximity to
- 20 locations where the deposits are likely to be used.
- 21 (c) The county-wide need and proximity provisions of this section
- 22 do not apply to metals mining and milling operations as defined in RCW
- 23 78.56.020.

31

- 24 (2) Nothing in this section precludes any unit of government from
- 25 accepting the lowest responsible bid for purchase of mineral materials,
- 26 regardless of source.
- 27 (3) Through its comprehensive plan and development regulations, as
- 28 defined in RCW 36.70A.030, the county, city, or town shall discourage
- 29 the siting of new applications of incompatible uses adjacent to mineral
- 30 resource industries, deposits, and holdings.
  - (4) For the purposes of this section:
- 32 (a) "Long-term commercial significance" includes the mineral
- 33 composition of the land for long-term economically viable commercial
- 34 production, in consideration with the mineral resource land's proximity
- 35 to population areas, product markets, and the possibility of more
- 36 intense uses of the land.
- 37 (b) "Allowed use" means the use or uses specified by local
- 38 development regulations as appropriate use within those areas

HB 2529 p. 2

- 1 designated through the advance or comprehensive planning process. Once
- 2 designated, a proposed allowed use shall be reviewed for project
- 3 specific impacts and such review shall not revisit the question of land
- 4 use.

--- END ---

p. 3 HB 2529